

# INTERNATIONAL DUE DILIGENCE INVESTIGATIONS

# The Costs of Corporate Corruption:

5 Key Factors Beyond Basic Due Diligence

Based on a series of interviews by Thomas Fox, the Compliance Evangelist, with Candice Tal, CEO of Infortal Worldwide





This guide provides an analysis of due diligence investigations in a variety of contexts including the Foreign Corruption Practices Act.

It is based on a series of podcasts conducted by Thomas Fox, an award winning author and compliance expert, with Candice Tal, CEO of Infortal Worldwide.

This guide will help you identify useful and actionable information for your corporate compliance program.

This guide covers important compliance topics, including:

- » When basic due diligence is not enough
- » Recent Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) enforcement actions where the lack of or failure of due diligence was a key takeaway
- » The investigative due diligence function in the mergers and acquisition context
- » Innovation in investigative due diligence
- » How data privacy laws and Artificial Intelligence (AI) will impact investigative due diligence in the future



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Factor 1	
When Basic Due Diligence is No Longer Enough	
An understanding of the differences between basic and	
deep dive due dilegence	01
Factor 2	
Recent FCPA Enforcement Actions	
Recent FCPA enforcement actions wherein insufficient due diligence was a key takeaway	04
Factor 3	
Global Investigations and Innovation	
The changing nature of international anti-corruption	
compliance programs	0/
Factor 4	
M & A Transactions	
Can compliance related due diligence in M&A protect	00
companies from both financial loss and reputational damage?	09
Factor 5	
What Works and What Doesn't	
What works and what does not work in due diligence	
investgations today	11

# Factor 1 - When Basic Due Diligence is No Longer Enough

We begin with an understanding of the differences between basic and deep dive due diligence. Tal explained there are typically three levels of due diligence.

#### Tal defines the three levels as:

#### Level I Due Diligence

The basic level typically looks only at the global watch lists for sanctions, politically exposed persons (PEPs), anti-terrorist lists, anti-money laundering (AML) and similar government produced lists.

Level I generally provides a summary of the beneficial owners of a company, its corporate structure, some basic financial information and the Global Watch lists for anti-money laundering, terrorist funding. Many companies use use only the Global Watch lists and business ownership information as their primary tool for risk ranking.

#### Level II Due Diligence

A Level II due diligence investigation is an intermediate between Level I and Level III. Level II takes a more detailed look at media searches and deep, dark and historical web searches to assess involvement with criminal activity, corruption, bribery, and a variety of other issues which often result in reputation damage and deal volatility.

# Level III Due Diligence

The final level, Level III, is also called a deep dive due diligence investigation, and investigates every aspect of public records information in addition to areas that are not necessarily in the public record. It encompasses items like a deeper dive of executive backgrounds.

This level works to not only identify bad people or bad actors, but also patterns of behavior which might tend to indicate a propensity for circumvention of internal controls. This may also lead to stepping over or even getting too close to the ethical line that indicates behavior that may turn criminal, or turn in a direction that would hurt your business reputation going forward.

#### Level III Due Diligence Behavioral Issues

According to Candice Tal, there are behavioral issues that can be discovered through Level III due diligence. It can be found through the online searching of media including newspapers, publications and digital media. A wide variety of information can come up in behavioral assessments in terms of understanding more about the background of the executive, in addition to past and present behaviors. Identifying other non-criminal behaviors that could pose a risk to your company is often valuable in effective risk management.

#### **In-Country Searches**

Additionally, there may be information available in a country that may not reach the rest of the press. So you may find that there are local issues that are well documented, but have not been identified by your company's regional managers or sales teams. Sometimes you can only find that information through local language searches online, other times Tal indicates you need to do incountry research. These are also known as "boots on the ground" searches.

#### Investigative Analysis is Key

It is a process that is labor intensive; whether that is shoe leather getting out and interviewing people, and conducting facility checks to make sure the company exists, or a very deep Internet dive to review and analyze investigative data to find evidence of corruption and fraud. It is the investigative "spade work" of digging into many different sources of information to come up with a much fuller picture of whoever you are looking at, whether it be an individual or through a third party.

#### The 80/20 Rule

In investigations about 80% of the information can be found online and through public records searches, however, 20% of the information is much harder to identify and need additional investigative analysis to reveal hidden and undisclosed information which is essential for informing risk management decisions. Often the 20% of hidden issues found create 80% of the problems, yet the causal information is extremely difficult to find and is often not revealed during Level 1 due diligence searches focused on global watch lists and financial indicators or company ownership records.

# Deep, Dark, and Historical Web

Level I searches rarely if ever get to find that 20% of information. One reason is because many companies do not disclose all their information and you may not find that information readily in those basic types of searches, Tal emphasized that it does, however, exist and investigators can find about 20% of that information. It is this final 20% of information or rather not finding out about it that often trips companies up in terms of corporate compliance programs.





# Digging Deeper

Tal further explained that a great deal of hidden and undisclosed information is found through deep internet searches. Unfortunately, a Level I, or even Level II search may be only looking at adverse keywords; which usually consists of only 20 or 30 keywords. If a person or company has a history of bribery, corruption or perceived types of bribing of local officials, that information could be uncovered but it may well not be found unless you look in the right places for it. Investigative time is often very limited for these basic low cost searches. Digging deep to find the hidden signs of fraud and corruption is time intensive but extremely worthwhile and highly cost effective.

Investigative analysis is a complex tool; looking not only at direct investigative results but also analyzing complex relationships between individuals and corporate entities; examining connections and indirect facts or issues which may impact the primary purpose of the investigative work.

# Factor 2 - Recent FCPA Enforcement Actions

We next consider some recent FCPA enforcement actions wherein insufficient due diligence was a key takeaway. The vast majority of FCPA enforcement actions over the past 10 years have involved some form of inadequate, insufficient or even a total lack of due diligence. We began by exploring how a company can perform sufficient due diligence without breaking the bank. Tal noted that most companies perform Level I due diligence, which of course provides limited information. Typically in Level I due diligence, companies find less than 1% of the issues that are out there.

"The vast majority
of FCPA enforcement
actions over the
past 10 years
have involved some
form of inadequate,
insufficient or even
a total lack
of due diligence."

## Finding the Needle In the Haystack

Surprisingly fewer than 1% of bribery and corruption related information is being found in most compliance programs today. When you couple that with the realization that 90% of FCPA enforcement actions are against companies who engaged third parties and third-party vendors, it leads Tal to opine, "I would say that you're not finding the needle in the haystack most of the time and you should be concerned."

Now if you consider due diligence in the Supply Chain component where there can be 50,000 or even 100,000 vendor companies, you can begin to see the daunting nature of getting your arms around these risks.

# Check The Box Compliance

Another key feature of almost all FCPA enforcement actions is that companies that have sustained enforcement actions most usually had 'check-the-box' compliance programs. We considered this implication in the context of due diligence. To increase the percent of information about the troubling 1% figure Tal noted above, she said companies need to "start looking at incorporating deep media searches, into their due diligence." Deep media typically looks at aggregated data from companies that amass millions and millions of digitized records, journals, newspapers, articles, periodicals or other similar information.



# INFORTAL'S INVESTIGATIONS SHOW

20%

of executives have adverse information in their backgrounds, which is often not reported and not uncovered.

### Finding 35% Corruption Issues

Now overlay global watch lists, with some basic corporate financial information, and you might be able to move from finding only 1% to up to 5% of the corruption and bribery related issues that exist amongst third party vendors in corporate supply chains. However, when you further expand that and do a deeper level investigation on online, beyond simply adverse keyword searches, it can move your discovery rate up to as much as 35% of the corruption and bribery related information that can readily be found. This information enables your company to conduct substantively improved risk assessment and risk mitigation measures.

## Executive Due Diligence

We next turned to key executive searches for senior management and even Board members. Tal notes that most information suggests that between 10 to 20% of all such persons have adverse information in their backgrounds, which is often not reported and not uncovered. This means that if you have 100 senior managers and Board members, you can reliably estimate that 10 to 20% of that group has a red flag in their background which should be cleared before hiring or even promotion. If you have 1,000 such people in your organization, simply do the math. You may well have hundreds of senior executives with corruption related issues or other serious issues in their backgrounds that you would not want to be responsible for causing nightmares for an organization down the road.

# Red Flags & Fraud

Another issue which Chief Compliance Officers (CCOs) and compliance officers struggle with is the number of red flags. Tal said that a key element is to consider a deep internet search which is different from a limited search of negative keywords. This is because the deep media and deep internet searches provide a much fuller and richer picture of a candidate's background; whether that candidate be an entity or individual. When you couple this with risk ranking it can lead to a more cost-effective approach to due diligence.

The regulators have made clear that a check-the-box approach to due diligence is insufficient because it will not provide sufficient information as required by them. A company must rank its third parties based on a variety of factors such as where they are doing business, who they are doing business with, how they are doing business, financial strength and even political risks.



#### Failure to Detect Fraud

The recent Vantage Drilling Co. FCPA enforcement actions drove home this need. The company's largest supplier was a drilling ship supplier who was so important to the organization that he was not only put on the Board of Directors but was also granted so much stock he became the largest single shareholder in the organization.

The problem was this supplier, Board of Director and shareholder, had lied to the company about his ability to deliver as he had no assets. A deep dive due diligence investigation was certainly in order for any of the roles he held during his relationship with the company. It would have revealed that he actually had no assets to provide to Vantage Drilling. Further, it would have also indicated a propensity to skirt ethical niceties such as not paying bribes in violation of the FCPA. The company paid a very high price for its due diligence failures.



# Factor 3 - Global Investigations and Innovation

We next consider how the changing nature of international anti-corruption compliance programs, through data privacy laws such as General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), and technical innovations, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), are prompting innovation in investigative due diligence. The rules around data privacy outside the US changed significantly when GDPR went live in May 2018. Now the state of California has passed data privacy legislation and many are lobbying the US government to enact a national standard. Issues in this arena are playing out literally in real-time. It is changing the manner in which information is obtained and transmitted.

### **GDPR & Privacy**

Tal said the most significant aspect for investigative due diligence is "how we will investigate criminal activity". This is because of GDPR's right to be forgotten which may be used by the criminal element to attempt to rescind data online. She noted that if there is a criminal conviction, such information may be prevented from being "forgotten" but if there is only a guilty plea or other resolution or simply a civil allegation, a fraudster or other nefarious actor may be able to hide other types of non-criminal but important information by having it removed online. It will be interesting to see how GDPR privacy restrictions play out over time. With respect to due diligence investigations.

# AI & Data Analytics

We next turned to AI in investigative due diligence. She believes that AI will be a "game changer" in compliance. Massive data sets require some type of AI to sort through and analyze the information. She said this is particularly important for internal controls and accounting books and records provisions to identify massive fraud. This is yet another area which is still developing, along with use of data analytics. Tal stated, "I'll frame that by saying at least in the next few years, there will still be a need for the traditional investigative approach that the boots on the ground, one where an investigator performs investigative analysis of all facts and also physically checks on facilities.

Artificial intelligence is going to have limited ability to do that." While drones may become part of an investigators tool kit, Tal believes that Al will be used "in a similar way to most data aggregators today. They find about 80% of the information. Yet there will always be the remaining 20% which they cannot find and you will need human intervention on the investigative side." Investigative analysis of all the facts in addition to looking at where facts do not match are key to thorough investigative due diligence.



#### Innovation

Looking down the road to the veiled land of the future, Tal sees continued innovation facilitating investigative due diligence. While Al is more than simply on the horizon, she said it "is a tried and tested methodology that has existed for many years, in terms of how do you look for and locate shell companies." It is also true about finding information about people who are trying to deliberately hide information. The bottom line is some of these investigative techniques involve old-fashioned shoe leather or simply hard diligent investigative work and "that's not new". Yet Al and other technological tools can make investigations more efficient and more cost effective, while giving better results. At the end of the day, Al can be used to sharpen and hone the due diligence process.

# Informed Risk Management

Yet with all of this information, many compliance professionals become somewhat bewildered with data overload. They often receive a substantial amount of information but are not certain of what it might mean or even how to use the data. Tal responded that one of the things Infortal provides is both a summary of the factual findings and actionable recommendations based on Infortal's investigative experience. It consistently helps a CCO to shape the data into their compliance program for continuous improvement. Further, one area of ongoing concern is conflicts of interest, in terms of both third parties and senior executives and even Board members.

We end by returning to where we began. A Level 1 due diligence investigation simply does not provide you enough actionable information, in many circumstances. Even if a CCO is cost-constrained, there are ways to move forward to a more rigorous due diligence investigation without breaking the bank.



# Factor 4 - Merger & Acquisition Transactions

How can compliance related investigative due diligence in M&A protect companies from both financial loss and reputational damage? The disastrous Hewlett-Packard (HP) merger with the UK Company Autonomy Corporation PLC was back in the news recently when the former Autonomy CEO was indicted by a US grand jury for making false representations in the sale of his company to HP back in 2010 for \$11.1 billion.

Some 18 months later, HP threw in the towel and wrote off \$8.8 billion from the failed merger of the two companies. HP has claimed that Autonomy, with the knowledge and participation of its senior management, actively misrepresented its financial statements. Former Autonomy executives claim that there was no misrepresentation, only the differences in US and UK accounting standards and that HP could have performed full due diligence on Autonomy but either did so negligently or failed to do so.

## Corrupt Agents

Tal noted that compliance due diligence in M&A is different than looking at only the financial numbers; it is a much deeper dive. Compliance due diligence investigations are an overriding term for a number of different aspects or applications of due diligence. There could be agent and distributor due diligence, vendor due diligence together with looking at the company and its operations, its financial information, its executives, its Board of Directors and senior management. She cautioned that in the past, many companies really do not look at the executives of a target acquisition, which can lead to multiple problems later on, in terms of FCPA violations and also shareholder losses, market losses and volatility at all levels.

#### **Board of Directors**

She said that rarely do the purchasers look closely at the target's Board of Directors but that it can be an important inquiry from the compliance perspective. For instance, if the Board has any issues that the acquirer should be aware of which would impact or even dictate tone at the top; this could be critical information. In some cases, board members may be involved in other organizations which may have negative business, ethical or social implications adverse to your company's interests. Reputation damage can be a serious consequence separate from potential corruption related issues.



#### Reputation Damage

There are a wide variety of issues that can create significant reputation damage for a company. It might not even be untoward information which could be uncovered in the deep dive due diligence on the Board. It could uncover potential conflicts of interest which are currently in place or could occur should the merger occur. Finally, such a due diligence on the target's Board could give the acquirer information on both the target's culture and what needs to be in the remediation plan after closing.

## Executive Due Diligence

Certainly a deep dive due diligence should be performed on the target's CEO and senior management to see if there is anything in their past which could turn around and bite the acquirer after closing and integration. As Tal has previously noted, from her 30+ years of experience in performing deep dive investigations on senior executives, approximately 20% of executives have significant issues in their background that were not known. Routine employment background checks will not find all of the issues as they are very limited in scope. Obviously, this can present serious problems to an acquirer if the risks manifest after the closing.



Approximately 20% of executives have significant issues in their background that were not that were not found during a routine background check.

# Shining a Light on Corruption

Tal turned to another topic she has developed through her years of work in this field, which she refers to as "the investigative hunch." She said, "in some cases you expect to find certain pieces of information and you don't find it anywhere. What information is missing? The question is: what does that mean? Does it mean anything or is it something that's being covered up and potentially serious?"

This example shines a light that there are many different aspects to investigative due diligence, particularly in M&A. Transactional due diligence is one part of compliance due diligence in the M&A context but it is only one part. Through a more robust, deeper dive due diligence you can begin to uncover both hidden and undisclosed information that can be found through both deep media and historical Internet searches. Finding 30% more information on bribery and corruption is key to better informed risk assessment and risk mitigation decisions. She concluded with "it's a much, much greater type of investigative analysis than simply Level I due diligence." and critical to an effective due diligence program.

# Factor 5 - What Works and What Doesn't

This final section considers what works and what does not work in due diligence investigations today. Unfortunately most CCOs are working with limited information from their due diligence programs or due diligence providers. This means they do not have enough information to input into their risk assessment to make an informed risk-based decision. As we previously explored, if a company is performing or having performed for them only a Level I due diligence, they may well only be uncovering up to 1% of the adverse information or raising the appropriate red flags. In a high-risk jurisdiction, Tal believes that if a company is not receiving up to 35% of the required information, they are really operating behind the 8-ball.

#### Shell Companies & Fake Offices

Moreover, relying on computer searches raises an amount of concern for other reasons. These include both shell companies and front offices. There are still situations that without a physical drive by of the third parties facilitates, the address may simply be a local postal box. The problem of shell companies still exists far beyond the initial dump of information past the Panama Papers and Paradise Papers. Even with a real physical address, if your third-party shares an address of a flat in London that also houses some 1,500 additional corporations, this is a serious red flag that you are dealing with a shell company. That in and of itself is a red flag which, if not cleared, could lead to a serious legal violation and a significant reputational hit to your organization.

# It's All In The Family

Tal pointed to another area which is often missed which is the extended relationships between people in some countries such as in Latin America, where you can see a lot of family run enterprises. Tal stated, "A Level I due diligence will not pick up on this where one company is a family which may also run multiple businesses. Some other business may be corrupt and the question becomes how does that impact the primary relationship? This can be a very important red flag that is being missed as the US Company may not even know who the real owners are."

If a company is conducting only a Level I due diligence, they may well only be uncovering up to 1% of the adverse information or raising the appropriate red flags.

#### **False Negatives**

She added that if your team does not have good information to begin with on the basics, such as a correct spelling of the vendor's name, you cannot research a matter properly. A wrong company name can lead to a false negative. Due diligence might come back with no information about the company or it could come back with information on a different company, "so that's another type of issue for some Chief Compliance Officers to be concerned about." Of course the physical issue of whether a company actually exists or actually have employees working there can still be a problem as well.

### Layered Due Diligence

We next turned to a strategy which a CCO could employ to allow for a sufficient level of due diligence but with an eye towards doing so in a cost-effective manner. In other words, what should be your investment in due diligence? Tal said, "a very good strategy would be to do the Level I due diligence but consider adding to it by building in deep dives on media and the Internet." With such deeper dives a compliance professional can increase their due diligence yield to up to 35% more information than Level I can provide. This approach also allows for a quicker and more expeditious uncovering of red flags that might warrant more focused investigations. It can then allow a quicker clearing of red flags to move forward.

### **Continuous Monitoring**

With a more long-term focus a CCO needs to perform due diligence on an ongoing basis. Even if a company has done a basic due diligence investigation, feel they have a solid compliance program around their third parties, internal controls and accounting provisions, recent enforcement actions mandate more due diligence and a review of your third parties more than every two to three years.

The Panasonic Avionics enforcement action made clear that due diligence should be viewed as an ongoing process. Additionally, there has been and will continue to be political instability in various areas of the world. This political upheaval can mean you find yourself in a country now having to do business in a completely different manner. Both South Africa and Malaysia have had regime changes impacting whom you may have done business with and with whom you are doing business, therefore ongoing monitoring is really vital to a solid compliance program.

# "...Ongoing monitoring is really vital to a solid compliance program."





# **About Infortal**

Infortal Worldwide is a global risk management and security company established in 1985 and has completed over 2 million investigations. We help companies minimize their business risks globally through improved regulatory compliance, by protecting M&A transactions from deal volatility, and preventing bribery and corruption in global supply chains in over 160 countries with a network of over 800 affiliates globally. We vet senior executives and boards of directors to prevent PR nightmares and minimize corporate liability exposures.

Infortal is known for its high quality reputation and Best Practices work in Board Advisory Services from a security perspective and has completed sensitive investigation projects for numerous CEO's on a 'need to know only' basis. With our suite of FCPA compliance solutions, we help companies prevent bribery and corruption in corporate supply chains on a daily basis. Infortal's CEO, Candice Tal, is a recognized thought leader in global due diligence investigations.

Additionally Infortal offers an enterprise level SaaS platform for background screening, and maintains the highest standards in the global employment screening industry. We provide extensive expertise in threat risk assessments and armed protection services for workplace violence.

# Candice Tal

Chief Executive Officer, Infortal Worldwide

+1 408.298.9700 | support@infortal.com

California License: PI 15304 CA



https://twitter.com/CandiceTal



https://www.linkedin.com/in/CandiceTal/

# About the Author

Thomas Fox has practiced law in Houston for 30 years. He is now an Independent Consultant, assisting companies with anti-corruptiw, 2013 and released "The Compliance Handbook" in 2018. He writes and lectures across the globe on anti-corruption and anti-bribery compliance programs.

# Resources

Episode 1 - When Basic Due Diligence is No Longer Enough

http://fcpacompliancereport.com/2018/12/international-due-diligence-investigations-episode-1-when-basic-due-diligence-is-no-longer-enough/

Originally aired: December 10, 2018

#### Episode 2 - Insights From Recent Enforcement Actions

http://fcpacompliancereport.com/2018/12/international-due-diligence-investigations-episode-2-recentenforcement-actions/

Originally aired: December 11, 2018

#### Episode 3 - What Is and Is Not Working

 $\underline{\text{http://fcpacompliancereport.com/2018/12/international-due-diligence-investigations-episode-3-whatis-and-is-not-working/}$ 

Originally aired: December 13, 2018

#### Episode 4 - Mergers & Acquisitions

http://fcpacompliancereport.com/2018/12/international-due-diligence-investigations-part-4-ma/

Originally aired: December 13, 2018

#### Episode 5 - Global Investigations and Innovation

http://fcpacompliancereport.com/2018/12/international-due-diligence-investigations-part-5-global-investigations-and-innovation/

Originally aired: December 14, 2018

